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Introduction

Alveolar decortication (corticotomy) has long been used with orthodontic treatment in order to accelerate orthodontic tooth movement (OTM) while reducing the undesired effects of root resorption, loss of vitality, periodontal problems and relapse of the corrections. The acceleration of tooth movement should shorten the therapy. However, the scientific and clinical assumptions of the early days were totally different from the more recent ones: we moved from a pure mechanical approach to a biological and physiological one.

In 1983, Suya1 proposed a great improvement of the surgical approach described in 1959 by Kole2 modifying the horizontal osteotomy in a corticotomy, avoiding the alveolar crest in the vertical cuts and eliminating the luxation of the blocks. He proposed this “corticotomy-facilitated orthodontics” to treat adult patients, ankylosed teeth and crowded malocclusions to avoid premolar extractions. Like Kole, Suya believed he was creating bony blocks and suggested accomplishing most of the movements in the first three to four months of treatment before the fusion of the blocks (healing of the bone).

The concept of corticotomy-assisted OTM drastically changed in 2001 after the publication of Wilcko et al.3 In this key case report, two adult patients received a selective corticotomy, along with alloplastic resorbable grafts, to increase the bone level and avoid the risk of reces- sions. An accurate evaluation with CT scans before and after treatment, and histological sections in one case, allowed the authors to formulate a new hypothesis about what really happens at the bone level after corticotomy. No movement of tooth–bone blocks, but a transient reduction of mineralisation of the alveolar bone and modifications similar to those described by Frost4–7 during the healing of fractured bones and named “regional acceleratory phenomenon” (RAP) most likely occur. The surgery-orthodontic protocol proposed by Wilcko et al.3 has been subsequently patented as Periodontally Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics (PAOO). The claims of PAOO are (a) accelerated tooth movement with reduction of the total treatment time; (b) osteogenic modifications with transport of the bony matrix, and final improvement of hard- and soft-tissue support of the teeth treated orthodontically; (c) increase of the short- and long-term stabil- ity of the orthodontic treatment. So far, scientific evidence has been given only on the acceleration of tooth movement that is transient, and lasts as long as there is a RAP modification in the alveolar bone surrounding the teeth.

After more than one and a half decades of clinical experience with alveolar corticotomy, in light of the current literature published on this topic, six rules have been established that should be taken into account when considering using alveolar corticotomy in a complex orthodontic case. These keys are the best way to ensure effectiveness and reduce the risk of producing no positive effect or, worse, causing damage. The six keys are as follows:

1. Alveolar corticotomy is to facilitate OTM.
2. Alveolar corticotomy has limited effect in time.
3. Alveolar corticotomy has limited effect in space.
4. A proper surgical procedure must be followed.
5. Proper orthodontic management after corticotomy must be performed.
6. Proper patient selection for corticotomy is essential.

A detailed description of each rule follows.

1. Alveolar corticotomy is to facilitate orthodontic tooth movement (Periodontally Facilitated Orthodontics)

Speed is a fascinating issue in life. We like to go fast in cars, motorbikes, boats, airplanes and so forth. Speed in orthodontics is a different matter. It is one of the main objectives of modern orthodontics to reduce treatment time, but we must recognise that a great number of variables may affect it.8–11

The initial difficulty of the malocclusion and tooth malposition, the age of the patient, the variability of the individual response to the treatment, the quality of the end
result, and the patient’s compliance are just a few of the variables that should be considered. Numerous case reports have been published showing how treatment time can be reduced when patients are treated with corticotomy. Case reports, however, have limited scientific validity.

The predictability and quantification of treatment time reduction are still not scientifically possible. The additional expenses and morbidity associated with the use of alveolar corticotomy should always be carefully evaluated to determine whether they are worth the saving of few months. A shorter orthodontic treatment is desirable, but certainly not at the expense of a high-quality end result.

Regarding OTM, numerous studies have shown that its speed is influenced by bone turnover and the individual response to mechanical forces and it is not related to the level of the forces. Clinical experience confirms this: there are slow movers and fast movers, but we are still far from recognising them. In addition to this variability, there is the temporary effect of alveolar corticotomy, which we will discuss under the third key. A faster treatment may be a secondary advantage and may be obtained in a substantial way only in those “simple” orthodontic cases that require a naturally short treatment.

In conclusion, alveolar decartication should not be combined with orthodontic treatment with the only objective of accelerating OTM and reducing treatment time: the risk of not obtaining either as desired may be high.

Despite this scientific evidence against its major claims, alveolar corticotomy has its place in orthodontic therapy. Let us consider the surgical insult and the associated RAP reaction produced at a biomechanical level: the increased metabolism, the transient reduced regional density (osteopenia) created by the increased osteoclastic activity, the reduced undermining resorption and hyalinisation (we still do not know exactly what happens in humans) facilitate OTM. The decarticated tooth is less resistant to orthodontic forces and will be easier to move and will require less anchorage.

Spena et al. in two studies conducted on a total of 12 adult patients with Class II malocclusions treated with distalisation of the maxillary molars showed how maxillary molars could be bodily distalised with simple buccal mechanics and no anterior anchorage. Corticotomy was performed only on the teeth to be moved, thus reducing the anchorage needs and their resistance to distal forces.

The term “Periodontally Facilitated Orthodontics”, instead of “Periodontally Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics”, is used to describe a procedure that has the primary goal of simplifying, enhancing and improving OTMs that are difficult or risky, from a biomechanical and biological point of view. The surgical procedure and the associated orthodontic treatment and biomechanics depend on the initial problems and the goals of every single specific treatment. This is in agreement with Oliveira et al.: corticotomies should be used to “…facilitate the implementation of mechanically challenging orthodontic movements and enhance the correction of moderate to severe skeletal malocclusions”.

2. Alveolar corticotomy has limited effect in time

Since the early studies of Frost on the biology of fracture healing, it is known that the altered metabolism of bone after a traumatic (or surgical) event has limited duration: it is the natural search for equilibrium or homeostasis.

The burst of hard- and soft-tissue remodelling starts a few days after the insult, peaks at the first or second month, and returns to a normal pace after a maximum of four to six months. This RAP reaction, when applied to the alveolar bone, causes an accelerated/facilitated movement of the teeth subjected to applied orthodontic forces. The effect lasts for as long as there is this reaction, so for a limited part of an orthodontic therapy. This has been confirmed by experimental studies on animals and by clinical studies on patients. Clinically, this temporary phenomenon leads to the need to perform the alveolar corticotomy when the RAP is necessary. Timing is fundamental.

Alveolar corticotomy may be repeated during the treatment with the objective of prolonging the effect. The effective benefit, cost and risks must be taken into account. Sanjideh et al. in a split-mouth study on foxhounds found that a second corticotomy performed after 28 days in the mandible produced a higher rate of tooth movement and a greater total tooth movement. However, they concluded that proper timing for a second corticotomy needed to be better determined.

Wilcko, Dibart and Murphy claimed that continuously activated orthodontic forces applied after decartication may maintain a constant mechanical stimulation, and allow a prolonged osteopenic state during which teeth can be moved rapidly.

In order to achieve this effect, they recommended seeing patients frequently (every two weeks) and continuing the activation of the applied orthodontic forces. If not, remineralisation would complete the healing process and bring the bone metabolism to a normal level. It must be said that these claims have never been demonstrated either clinically or histologically.
3. Alveolar corticotomy has limited effect in space

The effects of alveolar corticotomy are localised to the area immediately adjacent to the site of injury. This finding is of outmost importance. Different surgeries may affect differently the resulting OTM. Glenn et al. and Tuncay and Killiany, in two experimental studies on animals published before the new trend on corticotomy, found that fiberotomy (a corticotomy limited to the crestal side of the alveolar bone) affected the rate of OTM and shifted the centre of rotation toward the apex of the roots, thus modifying the biomechanical behaviour of the teeth under the orthodontic forces. If the surgical insult is applied to a limited area of the alveolar bone (i.e. middle third and only buccal surface; Fig. 1), the RAP reaction will not be extended to the entire root area. The modifications at the bone level will be limited at the area of the decortication, and control of the apical and lingual sides will not be influenced as desired.

As a general rule, if a mesiodistal bodily movement or better control of the apical area are the biomechanical needs of the OTM to be achieved and enhanced (i.e. intrusion/extrusion), the decortication needs to be extended to the entire alveolar bone surrounding the roots of the teeth, buccally and lingually (Fig. 2); if the movement is less complex or anatomical limitations of the surgical site impede an extended decortication, the cuts may be limited in the direction of the OTM. These biomechanical needs determine the type of procedure in both the open-flap and the flapless surgeries.

4. A proper surgical procedure must be followed

Several surgical protocols for performing alveolar corticotomy have been proposed. Most of them have been tried in the last 15 years on several patients. These surgeries may be divided into two groups: the open-flap and the flapless corticotomies (Tab. 1).

The original corticotomies were performed after raising a flap. This type of surgery is still preferred when an extended or critical area of decortication has to be managed and when an extended grafting is planned.

The flap can be designed according to the periodontal characteristics of the site and has to be full thickness in the area of decortication and split thickness below this area to ensure a good blood supply. Interproximal and subapical cuts of 1–2 mm in the cortical bone (Figs. 3 & 4) are performed together with a light scraping of the external cortex in between the cuts. This extended surgical insult will produce a wide RAP reaction and prepare a bleeding bed for any grafting material eventually placed.
in association with the decortication. Piezo-surgical calibrated micro-saws are preferred to rotating surgical burs because of their selective, safer, micrometric and more precise cuts; better irrigation/cooling effect from cavitation; better comfort for the surgeon; and better healing for the patient. The open-flap corticotomy procedure is routinely used during orthognathic surgery, when exposing impacted teeth, to treat transverse maxillary deficiencies and periodontally involved cases.

Flapless surgery has been proposed as an alternative way of performing a corticotomy. Corticision³¹ and Piezocision³² have been an attempt to reduce the invasiveness of the decortication and the possible periodontal damage and postoperative discomfort with raising a flap. Even if attractive, they seem to have surgical and biomechanical limitations.

The surgical limitations include risks when performed in crowded arches, limited visibility when producing the cuts, limitation of the cuts to the interproximal areas and to the middle third of the roots, difficult control of the grafting in the apico-coronal direction and need for optimal extension of the attached gingiva in the area of decortication. The biomechanical limitations are strictly related to the fact that corticotomy is performed only on the buccal side and middle third of the roots.

They are definitely not minimally invasive surgeries as claimed and are quite expensive for the patient, since only a well-trained periodontist/oral surgeon can perform them and they often require complex planning with digitally designed 3-D surgical guides.³³

The Micro-Osteo-Perforations (MOPs) described by Alikhani et al.³⁴ and Teixeira et al.³⁵ are an effective and minimally invasive way of producing insult to the cortical alveolar bone. These MOPs may be created with manual instruments (Excellerator, Propel Orthodontics) or with dedicated burs on a reduced-speed electric handpiece (Fig. 5).

MOPs are produced with a penetration in the cortex of a maximum of 1–2 mm. Instead of conventional local anaesthesia, a strong anaesthetic gel placed on the mucosa for three minutes is sufficient to control the patient’s pain and discomfort. It is advisable to produce two to three MOPs in each interproximal area of the teeth and both buccally and lingually (Fig. 6), to ensure that the metabolic changes are extended around the entire radicular alveolar bone. Manual MOP is usually created in the frontal areas, whereas drilled MOP is usually performed in the posterior and lingual areas (Figs. 7–9). The pro-

---

Tab. 1: Surgical protocols for performing alveolar corticotomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-flap corticotomies</th>
<th>Flapless corticotomies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>· Periodontally Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics</td>
<td>· Fiberotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Segmental corticotomy</td>
<td>· Corticision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Any corticotomy performed during an open-flap surgery</td>
<td>· Piezocision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>· Micro-osteoperforations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
procedure and the precautions are similar to the insertion of mini-screws. Orthodontists can easily create MOPs at the chairside, and the cost is a great deal more affordable for the patient. Finally, they can easily be repeated during treatment if additional bone stimulation is needed. No packing and no sutures are necessary after MOP. The limit is that no grafting can accompany MOP.

Whenever possible and desirable, grafting may accompany alveolar corticotomy. The grafting is usually planned before surgery, based upon initial clinical and radiographic evaluation, the desired OTM, and the short- and long-term periodontal considerations. In situations of thin bone and a thin gingival biotype, with risky movements like expansion, labial proclination or antero-posterior movements in reduced bone volumes, grafting may be indicated to reduce/eliminate fenestrations and dehiscences, produce additional support for the roots, and improve final aesthetics and stability.

Grafting may include hard-tissue, soft-tissue and autologous growth factors. Quality and quantity may be modulated at the surgery depending on the clinical conditions of the surgical site. As a general rule, composite bone grafts where allogeneic bone (bone from human cadavers that is freeze-dried to reduce antigenicity and demineralised to expose the underlying collagen and its growth factors, like bone morphogenetic protein) with osteoinductive properties, is mixed with xenogenic bone (bone usually from bovine animals that provides a physical matrix or scaffold suitable for deposition of new bone and that prevents its rapid resorption) with osteoconductive properties are preferred (Fig. 10).

Soft-tissue grafts are added to bone graft when a thin biotype or gingival recession is present. If the area to be regenerated is small, an autologous connective tissue graft is the gold standard procedure. Large areas may be managed with allogenic human acellular dermal matrices, that are available in different sizes and thicknesses (Fig. 11).

Soft-tissue grafts are sutured with resorbable sutures. Both bone and soft-tissue grafts are coupled with autologous growth factors. With ageing, the number of stem cells rapidly decreases. These cells are important in case of injury and healing processes. Studies have shown that growth factors from platelet-concentrated plasma (platelet-derived growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor, transforming growth factor beta 1 and 2) may rapidly increase the number of the available stem cells, stimulate their activity, as well as reduce inflammation and pain during the healing processes. Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF)\(^{37,38}\) and the platelet rich in growth factors (PRGF)\(^{39,40}\) are prepared via two different protocols in which blood centrifugations allow separation of the plasma platelets from the white and red cells. PRF contains leucocytes and the process for its preparation produces membranes with a light compression of the centrifuged fraction.

The process for preparing PRGF allows the separation of three fractions with different concentrations of platelets. They may be mixed with bone grafts (increasing the graft’s viscosity and adherence to the surgical site, thus facilitating its application) and soft-tissue grafts. Activating and heating the PRGF fraction produces clots/membranes of fibrin that are placed on the bone grafts, stabilising their position (Fig. 12).

When using grafts along with alveolar corticotomy, a tension-free flap closure must be achieved at the end of the surgery, to provide optimal coverage of the decorticated area and the grafted material, and to enhance final soft-tissue healing. Non-resorbable sutures are left for at least 14–21 days.

5. Proper orthodontic management after corticotomy must be performed

Orthodontic treatment associated with periodontally facilitated orthodontics may be carried out with any fixed or removable appliances. It is the clinician’s choice to combine periodontally facilitated orthodontic procedures with fixed, active self-ligating appliances (In-Ovation) with the new prescription of the CCO System (GAC-Dentsply Sirona; Fig. 13).\(^{41}\)

The management and wire changes are similar to those of any orthodontic case. No initial heavy force is necessary. There is no rule regarding timing of the bond-
ing: in some cases, appliances are placed a week after the surgery, while in others (for example, when distalising maxillary molars or repositioning impacted teeth) several months before corticotomy.

The enhanced tooth movement deriving from the RAP reaction is obtained when needed. The major difference is that, after the periodontal surgery and until tooth movement is clearly enhanced, the visits for wire activations or wire changes are every two weeks instead of the usual six to eight weeks.

When corticotomy is performed along with aligner treatment, the frequency of appliance changes is every three to four days.

Alveolar corticotomy may easily be associated with skeletal anchorage devices. Temporary anchorage devices are used to increase anchorage, while corticotomies are used to reduce anchorage.

6. Proper patient selection for corticotomy is essential

Alveolar corticotomy is not for every patient, and it is not feasible to use it on a routine basis in clinical practice. The main indication is in clinical cases with complex OTMs. Open-flap surgery is indicated in impacted teeth, surgery-first procedures with extractions, orthognathic surgery with major postoperative OTMs, complex space closures with reduced supporting tissue, and maxillary expansion in periodontally compromised cases. MOP is indicated in treatments with aligners, complex OTMs without periodontal problems and patients with financial limitations.
One case treated with open-flap corticotomy and two cases treated with MOP will be shown to elucidate the concepts described in this article.

Case 1
A 19-year-old male patient with a Class III dental malocclusion with anterior midline discrepancy wanted to be treated only with aligners (Figs. 14a & b). Treatment was carried out with 71 aligners and two MOPs performed at the second month and at the fifth month of treatment, only on the premolar and molar maxillary dentition (Fig. 15). Class III elastics were prescribed throughout the therapy. Treatment was completed in seven months with acceptable intercuspation in the buccal segments and correction of the midlines (Figs. 16a & b) and with good anchorage control in the lower arch (Fig. 17).

Case 2
A 22-year-old female patient with a Class II, Division 1 dental malocclusion with a missing mandibular right first molar and mandibular anterior midline deviated toward the right presented for treatment (Figs. 18a–c). The treatment plan was to extract the maxillary first premolars and close the mandibular right molar space with minimum anchorage. MOPs were performed after insertion of the mandibular working wire (0.019 × 0.025 in., stainless steel; Figs. 19a–d). Nickel-titanium closed coil springs were applied right after the decortication (Fig. 20). Treatment was completed with good intercuspation, coincident midlines and all spaces well closed (Figs. 21a–c). Figures 22a to d show the dental panoramic tomograms and lateral cephalometric radiographs before and after treatment.
Case 3

A 30-year-old male patient, after two unsuccessful previous orthodontic treatments, with a Class II malocclusion with an anterior open bite, unilateral cross bite and generalised recession on the buccal aspects of maxillary teeth presented for treatment (Figs. 23a & b). The ideal treatment would have included surgically assisted maxillary expansion, followed by combined orthodontic-orthognathic surgery. The patient refused this treatment, but accepted an alternative treatment with open-flap corticotomy extended from molar to molar and generous hard- and soft-tissue grafting (Figs. 24a & b). Treatment started a week after the surgery and continued with visits every two to three weeks. Once arch coordination had been slowly achieved with 0.019 × 0.025 in. stainless-steel archwires (Figs. 25a & b), followed by 0.021 × 0.025 in. stainless-steel archwires (Figs. 26a & b and 27a & b), the anterior open bite spontaneously closed (Figs. 28a & b). The CBCT images before and after treatment reveal the increased volume of the maxillary alveolar bone that allowed the successful expansion of the upper arch, despite the age of the patient and the initial periodontal problems (Figs. 29a & b).

Conclusion

Alveolar corticotomy (or periodontally facilitated orthodontics as we prefer) is an effective procedure in which alveolar decortication is associated with orthodontic treatment with the primary goal of enhancing OTM and reducing anchorage needs. By accelerating the rate of OTM and reducing the complexity of a clinical case, bone
Decortication may reduce treatment time. However, this effect is considered a side-effect and not the primary reason for using this periodontal surgery. According to the patient’s needs, it may be performed with an open-flap or a flapless procedure and may be associated with hard- and soft-tissue grafting. Further studies are still needed to evaluate indications, contra-indications and risks. The procedures described here will certainly evolve and improve with the improvement of the materials, devices and appliances utilised.
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